

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trad mark Office

Address:	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	Washington, D.C. 20231
	inΛ

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/358,28	0 07/21/	99 UTTER		S	MISTY-52064	
		一	EXAMINER			
024201 FIII WIDER	PATTON LEE	QM02/0606 UTECHT, LLP		KIM.C		
	GHES CENTE	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6060 CENT TENTH FLO LOS ANGEL		5		3752	20	
					06/06/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

٠...

Application No.

09/358,280

Examiner

Christopher S Kim

3752

	Christopher S. Kim	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover she t with the corre	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS STHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	ET TO EXPIRE3MON 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply n. eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ate, cause the application to become ABAN ling date of this communication, even if time 2001	NTH(S) FROM The between the between two states of the sta
,	ction is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>5, 7-12, and 15-17</u>		is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considera
5)		is/are allowed.
6) X Claim(s) <u>5, 7-12, and 15-17</u>		is/are rejected.
7)		is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to	o restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on	Oct 2000 is: a is: a	b)⊡disapproved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have application from the International Burea *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e been received. e been received in Application No couments have been received in this iu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received.	
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Attachment(s)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	lo(c)
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (F	·
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on May 15, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/358,280 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Amendment file March 19, 2001 has been entered.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "means for pressurizing the container removably mounted to said inlet of said container" recited in claim 11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 5, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushing (4,911,339) in view of Rosenberg (4,960,419).

With respect to claims 5 and 8, Cushing discloses an apparatus comprising: a pressurizable container 16; a first water conduit 72; means 40 for pressurizing the container; a second water conduit 18, 51; a spray nozzle 20; a clip 24; a restrictive valve 46; and a means for sealing 70. The valve of Cushing inherently has an open position and a closed position. Cushing differs from what is being claimed in the alligator clip. The clip of Cushing is a C-shaped clip. Rosenberg discloses, in column 6, lines 3-6, a conventional alligator clip 235 for securing a tube to a convenient point. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced the clip of Cushing with the alligator clip of Rosenberg for attachment to convenient places.

With respect to claim 10, Cushing in view of Rosenberg discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of ice. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have added ice to the container of Cushing in view of Rosenberg to dispense cold water.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushing (4,911,339) in view of Rosenberg (4,960,419) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Shurnick et al. (4,852,781).

Art Unit: 3752

Cushing in view of Rosenberg differs from what is claimed in the means secured to the misting apparatus for attaching the misting apparatus to a person's waist. Shurnick et al. discloses a means 27 for securing a bottle to a part of a person's body (waist shown in figure 1). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced cage 30 of Cushing with the means 27 of Shurnick et al. to attach the device of Cushing to a runner.

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushing (4,911,339) in view of Rosenberg (4,960,419) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Norman (842,689).

Cushing in view of Rosenberg discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the manual piston type pump. Norman discloses a piston pump 7, 11, 12, 13, 17. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced the bellows pump of Cushing with the piston pump of Norman to provide faster compression.

8. Claims 11, 12 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushing (4,911,339) in view of Rosenberg (4,960,419), Shurnick et al. (4,852,781) and Roueche et al. (5,186,391).

With respect to claims 11, 12, 15 and 16,

Cushing discloses an apparatus comprising: a pressurizable container 16; a first water conduit 72; means 40 for pressurizing the container; a second water conduit 18, 51; a spray

Art Unit: 3752

nozzle 20; a clip 24; a restrictive valve 46; and a means for sealing 70. The valve of Cushing inherently has an open position and a closed position. Cushing differs from what is being claimed in: the means for pressurizing the container mounted to the inlet; the means for securing the container to the person; and the alligator clip.

Roueche et al. discloses, in figure 13, a piston pump mounted to an inlet cap 118. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced the bellows pump of Cushing with the piston pump of Roueche et al. to provide faster compression.

Shurnick et al. discloses a means 27 for securing a bottle to a part of a person's body (shown as a belt 27 around runner's waist 31 in figure 1). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced cage 30 of Cushing with the means 27 of Shurnick et al. to attach the device of Cushing to a runner.

Rosenberg discloses, in column 6, lines 3-6, a conventional alligator clip 235 for securing a tube to a convenient point. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced the clip of Cushing with the alligator clip of Rosenberg for attachment to convenient places.

With respect to claim 17,

Cushing in view of Rosenberg, Shurnick et al. and Roueche et al. discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of ice. It would have been obvious to a person having

Art Unit: 3752

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have added ice to the container of Cushing in view of Rosenberg, Shurnick et al. and Roueche et al. to dispense cold water.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5, 7-12 and 15-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7766.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

CK

June 3, 2001

David A. Scherbel Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700